

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,572	09/09/2003	James Huntington Dabney	R0367-01901	3419	
7590 08/23/2004			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Edward J. Lynch			ROLLINS, ROSILAND STACIE		
Duane Morris L	LP				
One Market			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Spear Tower, Suite 2000			3739		
San Francisco, CA 94105			DATE MAILED: 08/23/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		W
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/658,572	DABNEY ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Rosiland S Rollins	3739
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	tion appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 3' after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statuto  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reation. ays, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirt ry period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	•	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>09 Se<i>ptember</i> 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☑ This action is non-final.	,
3) Since this application is in condition for	allowance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice	under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		,
4) Claim(s) 1-78 is/are pending in the app	lication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are v	withdrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-78</u> are subject to restriction a	and/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the E		
<del>-</del>	accepted or b) objected to	
Applicant may not request that any objection	-,,	• /
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by		
The dath of declaration is objected to by	THE EXAMINET. NOTE THE ATTACHED	Tollice Action of form F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		·
<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority do</li> </ol>	cuments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority dod		
3. Copies of the certified copies of t		received in this National Stage
application from the International	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	
* See the attached detailed Office action for	or a list of the certified copies not	received.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) [] Intention C	Summary (PTO-413)
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-</li> </ol>	948) Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-11, drawn to a H.F. electrosurgical system, classified in class 606, subclass 39.
- II. Claims 12-31 and 51-78, drawn to a H.F. electrosurgical generator, classified in class 606, subclass 34
- III. Claims 32-40, drawn to a method of using a H.F. generator, classified in class 128, subclass 898.
- IV. Claims 41-50, drawn to a method of generating a H.F. signal, classified in class 128, subclass 898.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the generator of the combination does not include a control unit and a voltage controlled electrical power generating unit. The subcombination has separate utility such as a generator

Art Unit: 3739

Inventions I and III or IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product can be practiced with another materially different product that includes a sinusoidal waveform or control signal and filter.

Inventions II and III or IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the process for using the product can be practiced with another materially different product that includes a sinusoidal waveform or control signal and filter.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I or II or IV is not required for either of the remaining groups, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I in figure 1, Species II in figure 2, Species III in figure 3, Species IV in figure 4, Species V in figure 5, Species VI in figure 6, Species VII in figure 7, Species VIII in figures 8-11.

Application/Control Number: 10/658,572

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Art Unit: 3739

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rosiland S Rollins whose telephone number is 703/3082711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 9:00 AM - 6:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda C. Dvorak can be reached on 703/3080994. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toii-free).

Rosiland S Rollins
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3739